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**Abstract**

The purpose of this study is trying to see the effect of the transformational leadership to the job satisfaction, which already explain in the literature review that when the problem are simple and clear it was best to use transactional leadership, but when the company getting bigger then it supposed to use the transformational leadership, however in central java perspectives, these company is not that small yet is not that big as well. Then we do assume that the leader should be transformational and yet transactional. To test the hypothesized model, this study uses a survey questionnaire research design and a quantitative approach, Data collection by researchers is done by sending questionnaires to employees of the middle manager (supervisor; manager) level at 6 textile companies in Central Java Province via email. This shows that the data taken can be said to represent the condition of textile companies in Solo City, Central Java Province of the Republic of Indonesia*.*
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**Abstrak**

*Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah mencoba untuk melihat pengaruh kepemimpinan transformasional terhadap kepuasan kerja, yang sudah menjelaskan dalam tinjauan literatur bahwa ketika masalahnya sederhana dan jelas yang terbaik adalah menggunakan kepemimpinan transaksional, tetapi ketika perusahaan semakin besar maka Seharusnya menggunakan kepemimpinan transformasional, namun dalam perspektif Jawa Tengah, perusahaan ini tidak begitu kecil tetapi tidak sebesar itu juga. Kemudian kita mengasumsikan bahwa pemimpin harus bersifat transformasional dan transaksional. Untuk menguji model hipotesis, penelitian ini menggunakan desain penelitian kuesioner survei dan pendekatan kuantitatif. Pengumpulan data oleh peneliti dilakukan dengan mengirimkan kuesioner kepada karyawan tingkat manajer menengah (supervisor; manajer) di 6 perusahaan tekstil di Provinsi Jawa Tengah melalui email. Ini menunjukkan bahwa data yang diambil dapat dikatakan mewakili kondisi perusahaan tekstil di Kota Solo Provinsi Jawa Tengah Republik Indonesia*

**Kata kunci**— Kepemimpinan transformasional, Penghargaan, Kepuasan Kerja, Perusahaan Tekstil

**Introduction**

The growth of the textile industry in the world is predicted to grow. This condition is inseparable from the development of the textile industry in developing countries such as the Republic of Indonesia. The provision of Central Java especially in the city of Solo as one of the textile centers, contributes to contributing GDP to the Republic of Indonesia in the field of textiles. This is supported by the large textile needs of the domestic and international markets which make the textile industry's opportunity to increase. To pursue domestic needs, textile entrepreneurs prefer the process of importing textiles from Asian countries, this condition is due to the productivity of the textile industry in Indonesia has not been able to meet market needs. Various factors supporting productivity, such as: technology, raw materials, supply chains and labor (employees) were studied by several previous researchers, but not many have focused on how these employees can produce good productivity when they are satisfied and appreciate their needs.

 One of the main objectives of a company can be achieved if the employee has job satisfaction with his organization (Nagar, 2012). Because employees who are satisfied with their work will be willing to try so that the main goals of the organization can be achieved. Employee satisfaction can be achieved by several stimulus given by the company based on the performance achievements of each employee, one of which is in the form of reward. Acceptance of rewards for employees is inseparable from the role of transformational leadership styles that try to appreciate the achievement of the performance of the appropriate employees.

**Hypothesis development**

This research is trying to combine the transactional leadership theory and transformational leadership theory, where the transactional leadership theory defines that there is a characteristic of behavior and situation that involve the exchange between leader and followers, so that the followers can get benefit from it (Bass, 1960). This kind of leadership commonly used for a short term only and involves motivating followed by directing the followers, in other word the power of the leaders comes from the authority and the responsibility in the organization, this leadership was work on assumption that the employees are motivated by the right amount of reward and punishment (Nikezic, Puric, & Puric, 2012). Some researchers define rewards that influence performance achievement. Reward is inseparable from how leaders objectively see employee performance achievements and support the culture of appreciation for the company. Raharjo (2006) specifically stated that one of the factors that influence job satisfaction is leadership, where the level of job satisfaction, commitment to reward and employee performance are inseparable from the role of superiors towards subordinates. Based on Nikezic (2012) this kind of leadership work best for the problem that are simple and clear, so when the problem gets complicated and the company getting bigger, the transactional leadership should be change to the transformational leadership.

While transformational leadership focus on the process in influencing change in attitude, belief and values of the followers in order to get to the objectives that the company needed (Gomes, 2014), Good attention and relationships from the leadership to subordinates, can improve employee job satisfaction so that employees will feel themselves an important part of the organization (Retnaningsih, 2007). Wood (2007) said that transformational leadership has an effect on employee job satisfaction where a match between leadership style and teamwork will affect the performance of the team led. Based on the description, the first hypothesis in this study is transformational leadership has a significant effect on employee reward acceptance.

Dewi (2012) and Rivai (2009) define the factors needed as a source of job satisfaction including: interesting work, opportunities for achievement, obtaining awards and promotions. The fulfillment of these factors will lead to satisfaction but not fulfilling this factor does not always result in dissatisfaction. Whereas dissatisfaction is the factors that become the source of dissatisfaction including salary / wages, supervision, relations between employees, working conditions and work status.

 Purnomo (2010) states that transformational leadership influences employee job satisfaction because this type of leadership is able to motivate employees. This finding is supported by Bushra (2008) which states that transformational leadership has an effect on job satisfaction, this is reflected when leaders can make employees work satisfied. Previous research such as Avolio et al (1999) stated that transformational leadership is a leader behavior that can encourage employees to act on internal motivation in order to achieve high performance. The concept of leadership is described by Xirasagar (2008) where transformational leadership styles include: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual simulation, individual consideration. Transformational leadership will make followers feel trust, admiration, loyalty and respect for leaders, and they are motivated to do more than what was originally expected of them (Yulk, 2010). This finding is reinforced in a previous study by Yunita (2008) which stated transformational leadership created a dynamic organizational vision so as to create an innovation. Transformational leadership has an influence on employee job satisfaction in manufacturing companies (Omar, 2011). The statement is supported by Purnomo (2010), stating that transformational leadership influences employee job satisfaction because this type of leadership is able to motivate employees. Valdiseri et al (2010) in their research showed that transformational leadership style is related to employee effectiveness and satisfaction. This is reinforced by Lamidi (2009) which states that transformational leadership style influences employee job satisfaction and the higher employee job satisfaction will have an impact on increasing the competitive advantage of a company in the future. Based on the description, the second hypothesis in this study is that transformational leadership has a significant effect on employee job satisfaction.

 Reward as part of an appreciation of the achievement of the work of an employee is a right that should be accepted (Raharjo, 2006). Acceptance of rewards can be a representative of employee satisfaction when in accordance with the organization's agreement. Some researchers define job satisfaction is a positive feeling about the work and a result obtained, which is the result of evaluating the characteristics of work (Robbins, 2008: 1007; Rivai, 2009: 856). While Hasibuan (2009) states that job satisfaction is an emotional attitude that is pleasant and loves his job. Robbins (2008) tries to measure factors in job satisfaction, namely the nature of work, supervision, current pay and rewards, promotion opportunities and coworkers. While Sanjaya (2012) states that compensation in the form of reward, placement, work environment, leadership style and job characteristics has an influence on job satisfaction. Based on the description, the third hypothesis in this study is a reward that has a significant effect on employee job satisfaction. The relationship between research variables is described in the research conceptual model in Figure 1.

Base on the above suggested by many authors theoretical background and the research, the following research hypotheses are formulated:

H1. Transformational leadership has a significant direct impact on the reward

H2. Transformational leadership has a significant direct impact on the job satisfaction

H3. Reward has a significant direct impact on the job satisfaction.
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Figure 1: Conceptual Model

**Research methodology**

To test the hypothesized model, this study uses a survey questionnaire research design and a quantitative approach. Data was collected from respondents who were employees of textile companies at the supervisor and managerial level in the city of Solo. Central Java which is registered with the Office of Industry and Trade of the Republic of Indonesia.

The measurement scale used in this study is a Likert scale. Likert scale is used to measure attitudes, opinions and perceptions of someone about social phenomena, where the answers to each instrument item have gradations (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) neutral, (4) agree, (5) strongly agree

Data collection by researchers is done by sending questionnaires to employees of the middle manager (supervisor; manager) level at 6 textile companies in Central Java Province via email. The number of companies that responded were 4 companies (66.6%), with a response rate of 48 respondents. This shows that the data taken can be said to represent the condition of textile companies in Central Java Province of the Republic of Indonesia.

The measurement variable in this study uses several indicators as measuring knives of social phenomena, namely: Transformational leadership (X1) includes: Idealized influence, Inspirational motivation, Intellectual stimulation, Individual consideration, which are represented in 12 questions. Reward (X2) includes: Extrinsic factors and Intrinsic factors which are represented in 6 questions. And Job satisfaction (Y1) includes: Job Promotion, Job Relations, and Job Characteristic which are represented by 9 questions. The indicators are selected based on their suitability with the object under study, namely textile companies in the Central Java region of the Republic of Indonesia.

According to Hair et al (2005), before multivariate data analysis, we must examine assumptions about sample size, variable scale, multicollinearity, their multivariate normal distribution and outliers. Because the sample used less than 100, it is recommended to use Partial Least Square (PLS) analysis (Ferdinand, 2012). According to Garson (2007) and Byrne (2001), we can use the Likert scale and the Maximum Likelihood method in PLS.

**Result and discussion**

**Result**

All companies have permits from the local government for the production process. Textile production was chosen as a sample because textile products are one of the superior products of the province and have quite good growth in this country. In addition, textile products contribute to the GDP of the Republic of Indonesia. Finally, the response rate of 66.6% is used to map the influence of leadership on the company and the effect on the acceptance of awards and employee job satisfaction, which is expected to improve performance in the future.

The measured (observed) values ​​for the questions, measured variables of the model, which are used as indicators of the respective latent constructs (factors). Table I describes the results of the criteria using Partial Least Square by involving Composite variables on the indicator, Validity test, and Reliability using the Product moment and Cronbach alpha. Whereas then the latent variables are tested for validity and reliability through CFA for each latent variable. Based on table I. All indicators have a value greater than 0.5, which means the indicator is valid in measuring latent variables

Table I. Confirmatory Factor Analysis

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Latten construct | Observed variable | Factor Loading |
| Transformational leadership (X1) | Idealized influenceInspirational MotivationIntellectual stimulationIndividual consideration | 0.6890.7720.7590.790 |
| Reward (X2) | Extrinsic factorIntrinsic factor | 0.7950.743 |
| Job Satisfaction (Y1) | Job PromotionJob RelationsJob. Characteristic | 0.8320.8100.894 |

The reliability of above laten contructs was cheked according to Hair et al. (2005), by calculating the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient that were higher than 0.7 (Tabel I). Confirmatory factor analysis by evaluating convergent validity (factor loading > 0.5, Average variance extracted > 0.5, Composite reliability >0.7). the test results of the model get an R-square value that describes the goodness-of-fit of a model. The expected r-square value is greater than zero. Table I shows, the value of R-Square Operational performance (Y1) is 0.538, means that this research model meets the requirements. Goodness of fit inner model measurement with the results of Q Square calculations from Table I are obtained using the following formulations:

Q2 = 1 - (1 - R2) (1 - R2)

Q2 = 1-(1-0.538) (1 – 0.534)

= 0.7848

The results of the above calculations can be interpreted that the model is able to explain organizational performance (Y2) of 78.48% and 21.52% explained by other variables.

**Hypothesis testing**

The results of the t-value along with the full significance values are shown in the table II.

Tabel. II: Model reliability and validity

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Laten construct | Cronbach’s alpha | Average variance extracted | Composite reliability | R Square |
| X1 | 0.926 | 0.611 | 0.926 |  |
| X2 | 0.903 | 0.652 | 0.781 | 0.544 |
| Y1 | 0.883 | 0.712 | 0.883 | 0.538 |

Tabel. III: Hypothesis testing

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ResearchHypothesis | Description | Path Coefficient | T Statistics (*|O/STERR|)* | Information |
| H1 | Transformational leadership -> Reward | 0.508 | 6.269 | Supported |
| H2 | Transformational leadership -> job Satisfaction  | 0.520 | 6.041 | Supported |
| H3 | Reward -> Job Satisfaction | 0.451 | 2.232 | Supported |

Based on Table III, the interpretation of each coefficient with a sample of 48 respondents (t table: 1,677). Path (H1) "Transformational leadership has a significant effect with positive direction on rewards seen from the t-statistic value 6.269 more than t-table. (H2) "Transformational leadership has a significant effect with positive direction on job satisfaction seen from the t-statistic value 6.041 more than t-table. (H3) Prizes have a significant influence with a positive direction on job satisfaction seen from the t-statistic value 2.232 more than t-table.

**Discussion**

Broadly speaking, the sample is a textile company taken in the city of Solo in the Central Java region in this study, it can be concluded that the leader in this company has a transformational leadership style that can support the excellence of the company in future. Representation of leadership style is the provision of reward as an appreciation of performance achievement for employees, which in this case at the supervisor and managerial level with an average tenure of more than 10 years of work. A fairly long working period is a form of employee job satisfaction towards work and the company. But more than that there must be concrete steps from shareholders or company owners about how to bring the potential of transformational leadership to the company's continuous improvement in the future.

 In general, transactional leadership is used in many companies in developing countries. Unlike the findings in Solo, Central Java. It is proven by statistical values that show that transformational leadership consisting of idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individual consideration, all show high average values. Transformational leadership significantly and positively influences reward for textile company employees in Central Java. This finding is in line with the results of Wood (2007) who stated that reward is a result of the appreciation of a transformational leader on employees.

 Reward as a form of appreciation becomes one of the considerations of transformational leaders. Reward significantly and positively influences the job satisfaction of textile company employees in Central Java. It is proven by statistical values which show that extrinsic factors and intrinsic factors all show high mean values. This finding is in line with the results of Rivai's research (2009) which states that reward is one of the factors that makes employees feel they have job satisfaction in the company.

 Employees of textile companies in Solo, Java are feeling job satisfaction as evidenced by statistical values that show that job satisfaction consisting of: job promotion, job relations, and job operation all show high average values. These results are in accordance with the opinion of Robbins (2008) which states that the factors used to measure job satisfaction include the nature of work, supervision, pay and rewards, promotion opportunities, colleagues. This result is supported by Omar (2011) and Purnomo (2010) who stated that transformational leadership has an influence on job satisfaction, this type of leadership is able to motivate employees

**Conclusion**

This study shows clearly that transformational leadership style has a significant and positive effect on employee rewards while rewards have a positive and significant effect on employee job satisfaction. On the other hand, it is possible that transformational leadership has an indirect influence on organizational commitment.

 The low value of idealized influence and intellectual stimulation in transformational leadership shows that it is better for the leaders of textile companies in Central Java to be more active in giving advice and solving problems faced by employees and proactively showing employees that the leadership has a clear vision. These two actions are needed so that employees feel proud of the leadership and in the long run will increase job satisfaction.

Respondents' answers about employee job satisfaction show that job relations have the lowest value. These results clearly show that the pipeline should be able to maintain conducive conditions in the work environment which will have an impact on the comfort of employees in work and increase employee job satisfaction for the company. Can also be considered related to rewards that must be given to employees according to their rights and performance. Stimulus in the form of reward will help keep employees in the company in the future.

This study has several limitations related to the field conditions faced regarding the limited research population, thus limiting the possibility of using analytical tools and research conducted on the company. In addition to the population, the characteristics of the company are different from other companies. The conceptual model of research should be tested again with companies with different characteristics such as service companies, and manufacturing, or financial institutions to improve the generalization of the model.
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