

# TURNITIN JOHANIS

*by* Johanis Souisa Jurnal Ijiem Johanis Souisa

---

**Submission date:** 16-Apr-2023 11:28AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2065653810

**File name:** JURNAL\_TEGUH\_ARIEFIANTORO.docx (168.05K)

**Word count:** 5970

**Character count:** 32490



Available online at: <http://publikasi.mercubuana.ac.id/index.php/ijem>

IJEM (Indonesian Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management)

ISSN (Print) : 2614-7327 ISSN (Online) : 2745-9063



## The Influence Of Leadership Style, Work Stress, And Work Motivation On Employee Loyalty To Pt. Hartono Palace Of Technology

Johanis Souisa<sup>1\*</sup>, Teguh Ariefiantoro<sup>2</sup>, Susanto<sup>3</sup>, Asih Niati<sup>4</sup>,

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Faculty of Economics, University of Semarang,

[johanis.souisa@usm.ac.id](mailto:johanis.souisa@usm.ac.id), [vwgoeh74@gmail.com](mailto:vwgoeh74@gmail.com), [susanto\\_fe@usm.ac.id](mailto:susanto_fe@usm.ac.id), [asihniati@gmail.com](mailto:asihniati@gmail.com)

### ARTICLE INFORMATION

Article history:

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Category: select one (Research paper, Review paper, View point, Technical paper, Conceptual paper)

Keywords:

Leadership Style, Work Stress, Work Motivation, and Employee Loyalty

### A B S T R A C T

This study aims to analyze the effect of style, leadership, work stress, and work motivation on employee loyalty at PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi. This study uses the variables of leadership style, work stress, and work motivation as independent variables and employee loyalty as the dependent variable.

The population of this study were warehouse employees at PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi with a population of 435 employees. With a sample of 81 employees using purposive sampling method (sample with criteria). The data analysis method used in this research is multiple linear regression. The result of this study prove that the leadership style variable has a positive significant influence on employee loyalty, the work stress variable has a positive significant influence on employee loyalty, work motivation has a positive significant influence on employee loyalty.

\*Corresponding Author

Teguh Ariefiantoro1

E-mail: [vwgoeh74@gmail.com](mailto:vwgoeh74@gmail.com)

This is an open access article under the [CC-BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



## INTRODUCTION

In this era of globalization, competition between service companies and industries is getting higher. So companies need to improve their performance in all fields such as technology, finance, natural resources, and human resources. Performance is interdependent because if a company already has strong finances but does not have sophisticated technology, natural resources that do not understand and human resources that are not well supported, of course it will not run smoothly and have difficulty in achieving the vision and mission of a company.

Human resources are considered one of the most important factors in an enterprise due to its role as the driving subject of all activities and policies of the organization. Human resource management in the company is not easy because there are various elements such as employees, leaders, and the system itself.

As one of the manufacturing industries engaged in the electronic sector, such as washing machines, air conditioners, dispensers, refrigerators, and other electronic devices in supporting household needs and frozen food storage tools, PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi Sayung always strives to improve the performance and reputation of employees. In increasing this, the company will certainly be faced with various obstacles that affect the achievement of a company's performance. PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi has employees consisting of various departments, namely QA (Quality Assurance), HRD (Human Resources Department), warehouse (warehouse) and production (production).

To support smooth work, a wise leadership style, motivation, and training are needed. The right leadership style will be a benchmark for the success that is being led, because it can affect the ups and downs of a company's output. In today's modern era, leadership is not measured by a person's gender, age, physique, and background. The company will choose a leader based on the soft skills he has because it is to support the work and understanding of his responsibilities as a leader. For this reason, a leader must be able to create harmonious integrity and foster good cooperation with his subordinates.

In addition to a thoughtful leadership style, motivation is needed for every employee. Basically, employees who have high work motivation are one of the important factors in supporting the success of an organization, because basically employees will continue to learn and try to do the best job. According

to Hasibuan in (Yanik & Riky, 2019), motivation is the driving force given to create someone's work excitement, so that they are willing to work integrated and work together with all their efforts to achieve satisfaction.

Conversely, if employees do not have a motivational drive to work, they will feel work stress. Work stress will arise when employees are no longer able to meet the demands of the job. With the onset of work stress experienced by employees, it will certainly harm the company, and in such cases the company must be observant in anticipating the onset of work stress experienced by employees by providing an approach and work motivation to each of its employees.

A maximum and optimal attitude of contribution to employees when carrying out their duties is also necessary in a company. It is loyalty that will foster an attitude of contribution to employees, because loyalty in line can be interpreted as loyalty. Loyalty is an attitude that arises as a result of the desire to be loyal and improve in work, colleagues, superiors and in the company. Therefore, how important employee loyalty is to a company (Safitri, 2015).

**Table 1**  
**Employee Data**  
**in the Component Warehouse Department**  
**Years 2017 - 2021**

Years	Target Number of Employees	Actual Number of Employees	Turn Over Rate (%)
2017	189	184	2,8%
2018	177	168	5,2%
2019	168	158	6%
2020	165	152	7,8%
2021	162	147	10%

Source : PT. Hartono Palace of Technology 2017-2021

Based on table 1 above, it can be seen that from 2017 to 2021 PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi experienced a decrease in the number of employees in the warehouse department. In 2017 the number of employees was 184 employees. In 2018 it decreased to 168 employees. In

2019 it decreased to 154 employees. In 2020 it decreased to 152 employees. In 2021, it decreased to 147 employees.

According to research by (Citra & Fahmi, 2019) that leadership style has a positive and significant influence on employee loyalty.

According to (Anjani & Supartha, 2020) that work stress has a negative and significant influence on employee loyalty.

According to research by (Dewi & Jupiter, 2021), work motivation has a positive and significant influence on employee loyalty.

From the data obtained, there is a root of the problem why the number of employees decreases every year, which is suspected because of a lack of employee loyalty and the existence of employee breakup relationships (completed contracts). Factors that trigger the lack of employee loyalty due to unwise leadership styles such as giving responsibilities that are not in accordance with the abilities of employees, lack of sense of responsibility and protection from superiors to subordinates when doing a job, and lack of the role of leaders in providing solutions or solutions when finding problems that occur at work. The lack of work motivation from superiors to subordinates also triggers a decrease in the number of employees, because employees feel less motivated to do each job.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY REVIEW

### DEFINITION OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Human resources (HR) have a leading role in every company's activities. Although supported by facilities and infrastructure as well as excessive sources of funds, without the support of reliable human resources, the company's activities will not be resolved properly. Good human resources can be seen from the loyalty of employees in working in a company they live. Employee loyalty is an important thing that must be considered in order to achieve the success of a company. *Loyalitas Karyawan*

Loyalty is a loyal and positive attitude that arises from oneself for the benefit of individuals and companies. According to Saydam in Riyanti (2015: 6), work loyalty is a puzzle and ability to obey all rules, carry out, and practice everything that is obeyed with full responsibility, attitude and good behavior in carrying out their daily duties. The attitude and behavior of loyal employees will be reflected when they perform their duties by devoting their abilities and expertise, providing good relationships to superiors and

colleagues, and maintaining all company investments provided.

From the discussion above, it is concluded that employee loyalty is employee loyalty to a company by being willing to do all the responsibilities that have been given with a positive attitude and action because they will provide a reciprocal attitude if the company where they work pays attention to all supporting aspects of career development.

### Leadership Style

Literally, leadership comes from the word lead which means to direct, foster, organize, guide, show, or influence. The task of a leader is not just to govern his subordinates, but they must be able to help provide direction to themselves and others.

According to (Hidayat, 2018: 143) leadership style is a pattern of behavior designed in such a way as to influence subordinates in order to maximize the performance of their subordinates so that organizational performance and organization goals can be maximized. It can be concluded that the leadership style is a behavior and strategy, as a result of a combination of philosophies, skills, traits, attitudes, which the leader often applies when trying to influence the performance of his subordinates.

### Work Stress

Work stress is an important aspect for the company, especially its relationship with employee performance (Cahyana & Jati, 2017). To improve employee performance, of course, you must pay attention to several factors, one of which is by paying attention to work stress. Stress can occur in any individual who feels that what they are working on cannot adjust between desires and the reality that exists in him or from outside himself.

In accordance with articles 164 to 166 in Law Number 36 of 2009 which discusses health where the condition of workers with good health status can contribute to the achievement of optimal productivity. According to Setyowati et al (2018), explaining that work stress is a response caused to a person to the work environment, so that it has an impact on individual work results. Work stress that is not handled appropriately will adversely affect a person's quality of life.

Drawing conclusions from the definition of the onset of work stress is due to an imbalance between the personality characteristics of employees and the

characteristics of aspects of work that occur in all work conditions. If work stress is left unattended, employees will experience unbalanced physical and psychic conditions and symptoms that will be seen in employee behavior such as experiencing anxiety, unstable emotions, quietness, and solitude.

### **Work Motivation**

Work motivation is the presence of impulses that arise from within the employee as a result of the integration of the whole rather than personal needs, the influence of the physical and social environment in which the strength of the integration depends on the process of integration. According to Siagian (2015), work motivation is a driving force that results in a member of an organization willing to direct abilities in the form of skills and skills of energy and time in carrying out various activities that are his responsibility and obligation to achieve the goals and various goals that have been set by the organization.

Affirming about motivation does occur at the levels of behavior that affect performance and can be defined, that is, indirectly the concept of motivation that can be operationalized. Understanding behavior needs to consider aspects of learning, motivation, perception, attitudes, and expectations. This means that motivation is one of the causes or determinants of a person's behavior. It can be concluded that motivation is an effort made earnestly by a person with encouragement in achieving the goals of an organization that he follows with passion, responsibility, and there is no element of coercion.

### **15 The Relationship Between Leadership Style and Employee Loyalty**

Leadership style is a way or strategy of leaders in influencing their subordinates in carrying out the duties and responsibilities given by paying attention to the attitudes and behaviors of employees. If a leader understands about the situation in his subordinates, understands his character, and provides clarity in his responsibilities, it will certainly not cause a sense of pressure at work so that the employee will give a sense of work loyalty to the company.

This is evidenced by research conducted by (Kurnia & Lela, 2019) with the title of research on the Influence of Leadership Style on Employee Performance and Its Impact on Employee Loyalty which obtained results that there is a positive and significant influence between leadership on employee

loyalty to PT. Fastrata Buana Pulogadung branch. Another research was conducted by Siti Aesah (2018) with the research title The Influence of Leadership Style and Motivation on Employee Loyalty of PT. National No. 2 Bank Kemang Village Branch obtained the results that there is a positive and significant influence on the loyalty of PT. Nobu Bank Kemang Village employees. Nobu Bank Kemang Village Branch. Based on the illustration above, the hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H1 : Leadership style has a significant positive effect on employee loyalty.

### **The Relationship Between Work Stress and Employee Loyalty**

Work stress is caused by the many pressures that employees have to face while working. The pressure experienced will interfere with the work situation and the concentration of employees in completing their duties and responsibilities. Such a situation will certainly reduce employee loyalty so that it can harm themselves and even the company.

This is supported by research conducted by Iryan Sentana Putra and Anak Agung Ayu Sriathi (2019) with the research title Influence of Work Environment, Work Stress and Compensation on Employee Loyalty which obtained results that there was a negative and significant influence between work stress on employee loyalty at Inna Bali Heritage Hotel. Another research was conducted by Anjani & Supartha, (2020) with the research title Work Environment, Work Stress, and Work Motivation Affects Employee Loyalty Puri Saron Seminyak which obtained results that there was a negative and significant influence between work stress on employee loyalty at PT. Transoceanic octopus. Based on the illustration above, a hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H2 : Work stress has a significant positive effect on employee loyalty.

### **The Relationship Between Work Motivation and Employee Loyalty**


As an employee, encouragement or motivation is needed when working, because employees will feel motivated and have a passion for work in completing their tasks. In line with this, (Hasibuan, 2017:146) argues that motivation is an effort to provide driving force that creates a passion for one's work spirit so that they work effectively, work together between

employees, and strive to create integration in all efforts to achieve job satisfaction.

The lack of motivation given will cause a sense of insecurity in employees, because there is no urge to do everything well so that the development of their performance becomes decreased and the sense of not wanting to change for the better. This results in company goals that will not be achieved optimally. This is proven by research conducted by Ni Luh Putu Ayu Anjani and I Wayan Gede Supartha (2020) with the research title Work Environment, Work Stress, and Work Motivation Affects Employee Loyalty Puri Saron Seminyak which obtained results that there is a

positive and significant influence between work motivation on employee loyalty at PT. Transoceanic octopus. This is in line with Lola Melino Citra and Muhammad Fahmi (2019) who conducted a study entitled The Influence of Leadership, Job Satisfaction, and Work Motivation on Employee Loyalty which shows that work motivation has a positive influence on the loyalty of PT employees. Nusantara Plantation (Persero) IV. Based on the illustration above, a hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H3 : Work motivation has a significant positive effect on employee loyalty.



### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF THOUGHT

#### FORMULATION OF THE HYPOTHESIS:

- H1: Leadership Style Has a Significant Positive Effect on Employee Loyalty
- H2: Work stress has a significant positive effect on employee loyalty
- H3: Motivation Has a Significant Positive Effect on Employee Loyalty

#### RESEARCH VARIABLES AND OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS

##### RESEARCH VARIABLES

Variable Identification Based on the problems that have been described in the background of the problem, the variables that will be researched and analyzed in this study are identified as follows:

#### DEPENDENT VARIABLE

The dependent variable (Y) according to (indriantoro & supomo, 2016) is a variable bound to an independent variable. The variable included in this study is the Employee Loyalty (Y)

#### INDEPENDENT VARIABLE

The independent variable (X) according to (indriantoro & supomo, 2016) is a variable that is the cause of the occurrence or influence of the dependent variable. The variables included in this study are Leadership Style (X1), Work Stres (X2), Motivation (X3).

## OPERATIONAL DEFINITION

A definition given to a variable by meaning or specifying an activity or justifying an operation needed to measure the variable (Sugiyono, 2001). The operational definitions in this study are:

No	Variable Name	Operational Definition	Indicator	Source
1	Leadership Style (X1).	Leadership style is a pattern of a leader's actions in the form of traits, habits, disposition, temperament and personality that distinguish a leader in interacting with his subordinates.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Action</li> <li>- Characteristic</li> <li>- Habit</li> <li>- Character</li> <li>- Temperament</li> <li>- Personality</li> </ul>	(Siti Aesah, 2020).
2	Work Stress (X2).	Work stress is a response caused to a person to his work environment so that it has an impact on individual work results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Task demands</li> <li>- Work environment</li> <li>- Role demands</li> <li>- Interpersonal demands</li> <li>- Organizational leadership</li> </ul>	(Manalu, 2020).
3	Motivation (X3).	Motivation is the provision of driving force that creates a person's work excitement so that they want to work together, work effectively, and be integrated with all their efforts to achieve satisfaction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Strive</li> <li>- Future orientation</li> <li>- Time utilization</li> <li>- Strive to get ahead</li> <li>- Have high ideals</li> <li>- Perseverance</li> </ul>	(Ariyati & Mahendra, 2019).
4	Employee Loyalty (Y).	Loyalty is an attitude that arises as a result of the desire to be loyal and improve in terms of work, group, superiors and in the company.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Compliance</li> <li>- Responsibility</li> <li>- Dedication</li> <li>- Integrity</li> </ul>	(Jayanti & Wati, 2019).

Source: Past research journals

## RESEARCH METHODS

Sampling is carried out using the simple probability method which according to Sugiyono (2016: 82) probability sampling is a sampling technique that provides equal opportunities for each element (member) of the population to be selected as a member of the sample. The number of sample members will be determined by the slovin formula, namely:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Information:  
n : number of samples  
N : total population  
e : margin of error,

Using the above formula, the number of samples is obtained as much as:

$$n = \frac{435}{1+435(0,1)^2} = 81,304.$$

#### Descriptive Analysis

##### 1. Validity Test

According to Sugiyono (2017: 25) shows the degree of accuracy between the data that actually occurs on the object and the data collected by the researcher. This validity test is carried out to measure whether the data that has been obtained after the study is valid data or not, using the measuring instrument used is a questionnaire. The validity test was performed by comparing r table counts for degree of freedom (df)=n-2, where n is the number of samples. If r is calculated > from r table and positive value then the statement or indicator is invalid.

##### 2. Reliability Test

Reliability tests are used to determine the extent to which the measurement results remain consistent, if measurements are taken twice or more of the same symptoms using the same measuring device (Sugiyono, 2017: 130). If a variable is said to be reliable, it will give a value ( $\alpha$ ) > 0.60 = reliable, a value ( $\alpha$ ) < 0.60 = not reliable.

##### 3. Test Classical Assumptions

In data processing, the results of the analysis research used are Inferential Analysis (quantitative) where this analysis uses the SPSS program. Data analysis is carried out with the help of multiple regression methods, but before conducting multiple linear regression analysis, classical assumption tests are used which include normality tests, multicollinearity tests, and heteroskedasticity tests.

##### 4. Normality Test

According to Ghozali (2018:161) the normality test aims to test whether in a single linear regression model there is a correlation between disruptor error or residual having a normal distribution. The t test and the F test assume that the residual value follows a normal distribution. Sunyoto (2016:92) explains that the normality test is used to test free variable data (X) and bound variable data (Y) on the resulting regression equation. A good regression model is a regression model that has a normal or close to normal

Based on the calculations above, the sample in this study was 81 people.

#### Analysis Methods

distribution, so it is worth testing statistically. Data normality testing using Kolmogorov-Smirnov's Test of Normality.

##### 5. Multicollinearity Test

According to Sunyoto (2016:87) explained that the multicollinearity test is applied to multiple regression analysis consisting of two or more free variables or independent variables (X1,X2,X3,...,n) where the relationship between the free variables will be measured through the magnitude of the correlation coefficient (r)<sup>n</sup>. To detect the presence or absence of multicollinearity, it can be seen from the amount of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and Tolerance. Tolerance measures the variability of selected independent variables that are not described by other independent variables. Multicollinearity test can be done as follows:

1. Tolerance value < 0.10 or VIF > 10: multicollinearity occurs.
2. Tolerance value > 0.10 or VIF < 10: no multicollinearity occurs.

##### 6. Heteroskedasticity Test

Ghozali (2018:44) explains that the heteroskedasticity test aims to test whether in the regression model there is no similarity of variables from the residual of one observation to another. If the variant from the residual of one observation to the observation of another remains, then it is called homoskedasticity and if it is different it is called heteroskedasticity. A good regression model is homoskedasticity or not heteroskedasticity. This test is carried out using the Glejser test, which is a hypothesis test to find out whether a regression model has an indication of heteroskedasticity by regressing residual absolute. The basis for retrieving keputuasn using the glejser test is:

1. If the significant value > 0.05 then the data does not occur heteroskedasticity.
2. If a significant value < 0.05 then heteroskedasticity occurs.

##### 7. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Test

Multiple linear regression analyses in this study

were used to measure the influence between leadership style, work stress, and work motivation on loyalty. The formula used in this study is:

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + e$$

Information:

Y : Employee Loyalty

a : Constants

b<sub>1</sub> : Regression Coefficient of Leadership Style

b<sub>2</sub> : Regression Coefficient of Work Stress

b<sub>3</sub> : Regression Coefficient of Work Motivation

X<sub>1</sub> : Leadership Style

X<sub>2</sub> : Work Stress

X<sub>3</sub> : Work Motivation

e : Disruptive factors

#### 8. Partial Hypothesis test (Test t)

The t-test is used to test how far each free variable used in the study affects the partially bound

variable (Ghozali, 2018:88). This test aims to test the influence of free variables, namely leadership style, work stress, and work motivation on bound variables, namely employee loyalty.

The decision-making criteria are:

1. H<sub>0</sub> is accepted if t count < t table at α = 5% or significant t > α
2. H<sub>a</sub> is rejected if t count the table > t at α = 5% or significant t < α

#### 9. Coefficient of Determination Test (R<sup>2</sup>)

The coefficient of determination test measures how far the model is capable of explaining the variation of dependent variables. The value of the determination coefficient is between zero and one. A small R<sup>2</sup> value means that the ability of independent variables to describe the variation of dependent variables is very limited.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Validity Test

No	Indicators	r count	r table	Information
1	Leadership Style			
	Indicator 1	0,729	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 2	0,728	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 3	0,808	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 4	0,852	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 5	0,757	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 6	0,800	0,2185	Valid
2	Work Stress			
	Indicator 1	0,651	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 2	0,847	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 3	0,784	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 4	0,744	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 5	0,582	0,2185	Valid
3	Work Motivation			
	Indicator 1	0,779	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 2	0,637	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 3	0,734	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 4	0,785	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 5	0,751	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 6	0,788	0,2185	Valid
4	Employee Loyalty			
	Indicator 1	0,776	0,2185	Valid

No	Indicators	r count	r table	Information
	Indicator 2	0,828	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 3	0,776	0,2185	Valid
	Indicator 4	0,806	0,2185	Valid

From the results of the validity test above, it is value is calculated  $>$  r table, and is feasible for stated that the four variables are valid because the r subsequent testing.

#### Reliability Test

No	Indicator	Cronbach Alpha	Koefisien Alpha	Information
1	Leadership Style			
	Indicator 1	0,771	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 2	0,768	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 3	0,762	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 4	0,757	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 5	0,761	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 6	0,760	0,600	Reliabel
2	Work Stress			
	Indicator 1	0,764	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 2	0,731	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 3	0,741	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 4	0,739	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 5	0,771	0,600	Reliabel
3	Work Motivation			
	Indicator 1	0,755	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 2	0,771	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 3	0,753	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 4	0,752	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 5	0,757	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 6	0,750	0,600	Reliabel
4	Employee Loyalty			
	Indicator 1	0,779	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 2	0,769	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 3	0,784	0,600	Reliabel
	Indicator 4	0,771	0,600	Reliabel

From the results of the reliability test above, it is stated that the for variables are declared reliable because they have a cronbach's alpha coefficient that is greater than the standard  $\alpha$  (0.600) and is feasible for subsequent tests.

### Normality Test

#### One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		81
Normal Parameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	.98378858
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.066
	Positive	.056
	Negative	-.066
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		.598
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.866

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

From the results of the normality test above, it shows that the significance value result is 0.866, which is greater than 0.05. This can show that the four variables are normally distributed. So it is feasible to use regression models in hypothesis testing.

### Multicolinearity Test

#### Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	.696	.677		1.029	.307		
Leadership Style	.342	.063	.500	5.425	.000	.163	6.136
Work Stres	.172	.063	.182	2.723	.008	.309	3.238
Work Motivation	.212	.072	.307	2.919	.005	.125	7.973

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Loyalty

From the results of the multicholnearity test above, for the three variables, it was stated that multicholnearity did not occur because the tolerance value > 0.1 and the VIF value < 10 so that it was feasible in a study

**Heterochedasticity Test**

**Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	.598	.413		1.445	.152
1 Leadership Style	.010	.038	.071	.254	.800
Work Stress	.049	.039	.257	1.268	.209
Work Motivation	.043	.044	.311	.979	.331

a. Dependent Variable: RES2

From the heterochedasticity test above, for the three independent variables, it is stated that heterochedasticity does not occur because the significant value > 0.05 so it is feasible for the regression test.

**Results of Multiple Linear Regression Analysis**

**Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	.696	.677		1.029	.307
1 Leadership Style	.342	.063	.500	5.425	.000
Work Stres	.172	.063	.182	2.723	.008
Work Motivation	.212	.072	.307	2.919	.005

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Loyalty

From the results of the multiple linear regression analysis above, for the three independent variables it is stated that the value of t is positive and significant.

7  
**Partial Hypothesis Test (t-test)**

**Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	.696	.677		1.029	.307
Leadership Style	.342	.063	.500	5.425	.000
Work Stres	.172	.063	.182	2.723	.008
Work Motivation	.212	.072	.307	2.919	.005

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Loyalty

From the results of the t test above, the three variables show the calculated and significance values

**Coefficient of Determination Test (R<sup>2</sup>)**

**Model Summary<sup>b</sup>**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.945 <sup>a</sup>	.893	.889	1.003

a. Predictors: (Constant), Work Motivation, Work Stress, Leadership Style

b. Dependent Variable: Employee Loyalty

From the test results above, it shows that the magnitude of the Adjusted R Square (R<sup>2</sup>) is 0.889. This means that 89% is a variable variation in leadership style, work stress, and work motivation. While the remaining 11% was influenced by other variables that were not observed in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

H1: Leadership style has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty.

The first hypothetical statement that leadership style affects employee loyalty is evident. It is shown that the value of t count > t table is 5.825 > 1.991 and with a significant value of 0.000 < 0.05. Thus H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted. This is because a leader who can apply a good leadership style and can apply consequences to himself by helping to provide direction to himself and others, making decisions firmly and appropriately, daring to accept his own risks, understanding the situation to his subordinates, understanding his character, and providing clarity on the roles and responsibilities of subordinates will not cause a sense of pressure at work so that employees it will give a sense of work loyalty to the company.

This research is in line with research (Kurnia & Lela, 2019) that leadership style has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty.

H2 : Work stress has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty

The second hypothetical statement that work stress has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty is proven. This can be indicated by the value of the calculated t value of the table > t which is 2.723 >

of each free variable. So all three hypotheses are accepted.

1.991 and with a significant value of 0.008 < 0.05. Thus H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted. This is because the work stress experienced by employees does not always have a negative impact on employee loyalty, it can also have a positive impact because it is likely that the pressure felt by employees makes it a motivational boost to work better in the future. For this reason, PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi who experiences work stress does not close their ability to always be professional and committed and responsible for the work given by their leader.

This research is contrary to the research conducted by (Ni Luh Putu Ayu Anjani & I Wayan Gede Supartha, 2020) with the results that there is a negative and significant influence between work stress on employee loyalty.

H3 : Work motivation has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty

The third hypothetical statement that work motivation has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty is proven. It is shown that the value of t count > t table is 2.989 > 1.991 and with a significant value of 0.005 < 0.05. Thus H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted. This is because providing motivation will cause confidence in employees, because there is an impact to do everything well so as to create a passion for one's work spirit so that they work effectively, work together between employees, and strive to create integration in all efforts to achieve job satisfaction. This results in the company's goals which will certainly be achieved optimally.

This research is in line with research conducted by (Ni Luh Putu Ayu Anjani & I Wayan Gede Supartha, 2020) with the results that there is a positive and significant influence between work motivation and employee loyalty.

**CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

**Conclusion**

Based on the results of research and discussion on the analysis of leadership style, work stress, and work motivation towards employee loyalty to PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi, then the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The variable leadership style has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty, which means that if the leadership style is higher, employee loyalty to PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi will increase.
2. In the variable work stress has a positive and positive effect on employee loyalty, meaning that if work stress is getting better, employee loyalty to PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi will increase.
3. The variable work motivation has a positive and significant effect on employee loyalty, meaning that if the provision of work motivation is higher, employee loyalty to PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi will increase.

### Suggestions

Based on the results of a descriptive analysis of the variables of leadership style, work stress, and work motivation towards employee loyalty, the suggestions that can be given by the author are:

For PT. Hartono Istana Teknologi pays more attention to and improves how a good leadership style can be applied to subordinates in order to minimize the occurrence of work stress so that employees will be more motivated to do work by fostering a sense of employee loyalty to the company. The ways that the company's HR division can do are:

- A. As an effective leader, you must have a professional disposition towards subordinates by treating employees fairly and can inspire and motivate subordinates to achieve the vision they want to aim for together.
- B. Pay attention to the relationship of a leader to subordinates and co-workers because it plays a role in a person's work stress level, by increasing the approach and sense of trust and support to employees who experience work stress.
- C. Provide work motivation by providing regular and periodic employee training so that employees will feel comfortable and can improve employee achievement.

### REFERENCE

- Aesah, Siti. 2018. "Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan dan Motivasi Terhadap Loyalitas Karyawan PT. National Nobu Bank Cabang Kemang Village", Jurnal Disrupsi Bisnis, Vol. 1, No. 3: 85.
- Anjani, N.L.P.A., dan Supartha, I.W.G. 2020, "Lingkungan Kerja, Stres Kerja, dan Motivasi Kerja Berpengaruh Terhadap Loyalitas Karyawan Puri Saron Seminyak", Jurnal E-Management, Vol. 9, No. 7: 2512.
- Ariyati, Yannik & Mahendra, Riky. 2019. "Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan, Motivasi, dan Stres Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan PT. Enseval Putera Megatrading Tbk Cabang Batam, Jurnal Of Global Business and Management Review, Vol. 1, No. 2: 3.
- Aufa, In'amul. 2021. "Hubungan Self-Interest dengan Loyalitas Kerja Karyawan CV. Dai Rembang", Skripsi Fakultas Psikologi dan Kesehatan Universitas Islam Negeri Walisongo Semarang, Semarang.
- Citra, M.L., & Muhammad, Fahmi. 2019. "Pengaruh kepemimpinan, Kepuasan Kerja, dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Loyalitas Karyawan", Jurnal Ilmiah Magister Manajemen, Vol. 2, No. 2: 214.
- Ghozali, I. 2016. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IMB SPSS23. BPFE Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang.
- Hasibuan, M.S., 2014. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Bumi Aksara: Jakarta.
- Hidayat, A. 2018. "Analisa Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Pada Suku Dinas Kebersihan Kota Administrasi Jakarta Timur", Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Manajemen, Vol. 1, No. 1: 141-151.
- Jayanti, K.T., & Wati, Lela Nurlaela. 2019. "Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan dan Dampaknya Terhadap

- Loyalitas Karyawan*, Jurnal Ekobis, Vol. 9, No. 1: 73.
- Kamal, F., dkk. 2019. “Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan”, Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Manajemen, Vol. 15, No. 2: 42.
- Kumala, H.R., & Agustina, T. 2018. “Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Terhadap Prestasi Kerja Pegawai Pada Dinas Arsip dan Perpustakaan Daerah Kabupaten Bogor”, Widia Cipta, Vol. II, No. 1: 26- Manulu, Ferry Muliadi. 2020. “Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan, Motivasi Kerja, Kompensasi, dan Stres Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan”, Jurnal Equilibiria, Vol. 7, No. 1: 101.
- Manulu, Ferry Muliadi. 2020. “Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan, Motivasi Kerja, Kompensasi, dan Stres Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan”, Jurnal Equilibiria, Vol. 7, No. 1: 101.
- Mary, Muller. 2016. ‘Impact of Employee Training and Motivation on Business Development in Banking Sector: Study Conducted in Pakistan’, American Journal of Business, Economics and Management, Vol. 7, No. 9: 145-230.31.
- Pratiwi, Indah Sari. 2018. “Pengaruh Motivasi Kerja, Gaya Kepemimpinan, dan Stres Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Museum Rahmat Gallery Medan”, Skripsi Faklutas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sumatera Utara Medan, Medan.
- Purba, Vergio, C.B, dkk. 2019. “Pengaruh Stres Kerja dan Konflik Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada PT. Central Proteina Prima, Tbk Medan”, Vol. 8, No. 1:2.
- Putra, I.W.S., & Sriathi A.A.A. 2019, “Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja, Stres Kerja, dan Komponensasi Terhadap Loyalitas Karyawan”, Jurnal Manajemen, Vol. 8, No. 2: 7768.
- Retnowulan, J. 2017. Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Transformasional dan Human Capital Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada PT. Barkah Jaya Mandiri, XVII (1), 100-107.
- Riyanti, V. Maret 22, 2018. Hubungan Kepemimpinan dengan Loyalitas Kerja Karyawan Paramedis Rumah Sakit Nusa Lima Pekanbaru, Vol. 2, No.1.<https://media.neliti.com/media/publication/31875-ID-hubungan-kepemimpinan-demokratis-dengan-loyalitas-kerja-karyawan-paramedis-rumah.pdf>. Diakses 09 Mei 2022.
- Safitri, Rahmadana. 2015. “Pengaruh Kompensasi Terhadap Loyalitas Karyawan PT. Putera Lautan Kumala Lines Samarinda”, eJournal Administrasi Bisnis, Vol. 3, No. 3: 651-652.
- Sugiyono, 2017. *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 36 Tahun 2009 Tentang Kesehatan.

# TURNITIN JOHANIS

---

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

17%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

- 1** Agung Widhi Kurniawan, Muhammad Ichwan Musa, Abdi Akbar, Burhanuddin Burhanuddin et al. "Magical mystery tour: The enigma of Indonesia education service during COVID-19 outbreak", *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2022 3%  
Publication

---
- 2** Slamet Prasetyo, Yasir Arafat, Rohana .. "The Contribution of Work Motivation and Training Towards Employee Performance at the Inland Water and Ferries Transport Polytechnic of Palembang", *KnE Social Sciences*, 2021 2%  
Publication

---
- 3** Bassam Saaid, Nahed Abo Elmagd, Samah Abdalla. "Head Nurses Management Toward Reducing the Risk of Patient Fall and its Impact on Patient's Safety Culture", *Assiut Scientific Nursing Journal*, 2022 1%  
Publication

---
- 4** Agung Surya Dwianto, Rustomo Rustomo, Yodi Pirmansyah. "The Effects of Conflict on 1%

the Performance of Production Section  
Employees at PT. YKT Gear Indonesia", Jurnal  
Manajemen Motivasi, 2019

Publication

---

5

Suryanto Suryanto, Jana Sandra. "Effect of Individual Characteristics, Work Placement and Work Environment on Employee Performance (Case Study on PT Post Energy Indonesia Jakarta Office)", Majalah Ilmiah Bijak, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

6

Dian Prasetyo, P. Pitriyani, Fauziah Hanum. "The Effect of Affiliated Motivation, Work Environment, Competency, and Affective Commitment on Performance of Transportation Service Officers, Labuhanbatu District", Quantitative Economics and Management Studies, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

7

Romli, Idrus Jus'at, Ratna Indrawati. "The Effect of Transformational Leadership Style and Compensation on Employee Performance with Employee Motivation as Intervening Variable", European Journal of Business and Management Research, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

8

Euodia Stefani Handiyanti. "The Effect of Return On Assets, Current Ratio, Debt To

1 %

# Equity Ratio on Income Growth in Automotive Companies Registered in Indonesia Stock Exchange", Quantitative Economics and Management Studies, 2020

Publication

---

9

Maulida Simamora, Marlina Siregar, Nurintan Asyiah Siregar. "Effect of Education Level and Work Experience on Performance of Rantauprapat Hospital Employees", Quantitative Economics and Management Studies, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

10

"The Effect of User Participation and User Satisfaction on Accounting Information Systems", International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 2019

Publication

---

1 %

11

Selamat Biora, Yasir Arafat, Mulyadi Mulyadi. "The influence of teachers' professional competency and working discipline on teachers' performance at state elementary school", JPGI (Jurnal Penelitian Guru Indonesia), 2021

Publication

---

1 %

12

Dimas Anggara, Bayu Eko Broto, Aulia Indra. "The Effect of Motivation and Work Spirit on Employee Performance in PT Sapadia Wisata

1 %

# Rantauprapat", Quantitative Economics and Management Studies, 2022

Publication

---

13

Lusi Sartika, Fatimah Fatimah, Diah Isnaini Asiati. "The Effect of Competence, Job Placement and Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance at the Regional Office VII BKN", International Journal of Business, Management and Economics, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

14

Aflit Nuryulia Praswati, Nelly Purnama Sari, Sri Murwanti. "Youth Entrepreneurial Intention: Theory of Planned Behaviour and Social Cognitive Theory", Benefit: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

15

Muhamad Ekhsan, Indra Setiawan. "The Role of Motivation Mediation on the Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Employee Performance", International Journal of Management Science and Information Technology, 2021

Publication

---

1 %

16

Rini Mutia, Niswanto Niswanto, Yusrizal Yusrizal. "The Effects of School Principals' Leadership Style, Work Motivation, and Work Discipline on Teacher Pedagogical

1 %

# Competencies", AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 2021

Publication

---

17

Adolf Bastian, Jamilah Aini Nasution, Sri Wahyuni. "Teacher Performance Under the Influence of Training, Work Motivation and Teacher Competence", AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 2022

Publication

---

1 %

---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude bibliography      On

Exclude assignment template      On

Exclude matches      < 30 words