Available online at: http://publikasi.mercubuana.ac.id/index.php/ijiem



IJIEM (Indonesian Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management)

ISSN (Print) : 2614-7327 ISSN (Online) : 2745-9063



# Optimization of Production Process by Applying Theory of Constraint (TOC) at CV. Wijaya Mandiri Label

#### Al Aileen Agraprana<sup>\*</sup>, Dwi Sukma Donoriyanto

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Jawa Timur, Jl. Rungkut Madya No.1, Gunung Anyar, Surabaya 60294 Indonesia

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Article history:

Received: 20 August 2023 Revised: 13 October 2023 Accepted: 4 January 2024

Category: Research paper

Keywords: Bottleneck Capacity constraint Linear programming Optimization Theory of constraint

DOI: 10.22441/ijiem.v5i1.22515

## ABSTRACT

CV. Wijaya Mandiri Label is a company engaged in the printing industry sector located in Sidoarjo which provides printing services inside and outside Sidoarjo. In the production process, problems arise after several years of operation at work stations that cause bottlenecks in the production capacity of bontax and OPP sticker printing, thus hindering the production process to run optimally. This resulted in an inefficient sticker production process in meeting targets that made throughput not optimal. To overcome these problems, researchers conducted research that explored the use of Theory of Constraints (TOC) and supporting methods, namely linear programming, to optimize the company's production process and analyze data on working hours and product production demand. From the results of the research, it was concluded that the company experienced Capacity Constraints which caused bottlenecks at the fourth work station in the last six months. After exploiting the constraints on the bottleneck workstation, throughput is obtained using a linear programming calculation formulation with the results of  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 =$ 3,948.47 amounting to IDR16,781,000 in WinQSB software. The final stage of constraint elevation is carried out by allocating operators to bottleneck work stations and obtaining an increase in throughput of IDR6,322,300 or a percentage increase of 27.4% using WinQSB software.

*Corresponding Author	This is an open access article under the CC–BY-NC license.
Al Aileen Agraprana	(cc) U 🛇
E-mail: alaileenagraprana@gmail.com	BY NC

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The role of the service industry in the current free market era is needed, this causes intense competition where only industries that have the best competence and performance can survive. Based on this, intense competition requires every company to run its business efficiently. The production process is one of the operations carried out to add benefits or create uses by utilizing resources to meet consumer needs (Purwadinata & Batilmurik, 2020). If the production process can run well, the company will be able to create efficiency in producing products and can increase profits in running it's business. To improve efficiency and product integrity, process optimization is mandatory.

productivity Therefore, quality and optimization must be achieved simultaneously (Gofur et al., 2023). CV Wijaya Mandiri Label is a company engaged in the printing industry sector located in Sidoarjo. The services provided by company are not only limited to one area, but also outside Sidoarjo. In recent years, the company has experienced an increase in production demand. However, this triggers the emergence of obstacles in the process of fulfilling production demand, where the company's performance decreases in meeting production demand due to non-optimal production process activities. This decline in production capacity performance can lead to inefficient production processes. If left unchecked, it will become a constraint that can cause a buildup and imbalance of production capacity at the workstation.

Table 1. Operational data

Date	Work Time/hour	Demand Bontax	Demand Opp	Efficiency Bontax/hour	Efficiency Opp/hour
01/03/23	8	200	-	25	-
02/03/23	8	240	120	30	15
03/03/23	8	-	120	-	15
08/03/23	8	-	480	-	60
11/03/23	8	-	258	-	32,25
13/03/23	8	-	96	-	12
14/03/23	8	-	24	-	3
15/03/23	8	277	-	34,565	-
16/03/23	8	307	-	38,33	-
17/03/23	8	-	240	-	30
18/03/23	8	-	240	-	30
20/03/23	8	579	-	72,36	-
21/03/23	8	-	120	-	15
24/03/23	8	-	120	-	15
25/03/23	8	-	31	-	3,875
27/03/23	8	280	-	35	-
28/03/23	8	304	-	38,02	-
30/03/23	8	117	-	14,605	-
31/03/23	8	-	240	-	30

The problem is supported by operational data in Table 1. where production capacity fluctuates. This shows that there are behaviors or obstacles in the production process that affect the efficiency of the production process, namely constraint capacity or obstacles to the fulfillment of non-optimal capacity. This study aims to optimize the production process by identifying bottlenecks with the Theory of Constraints method at CV. Wijaya Mandiri Label. Theory of Constraints (TOC) is a system approach that focuses on identifying and managing bottlenecks in the production process that affect overall system performance. The Theory of Constraint method is used to make process improvements at work stations to repair or remove bottlenecks that focus on increasing throughput and maximum production capacity with existing resources in the system (Salimah & Dzikron, 2021). Theory of Constraint guides the identification and handling of constraints that may interfere with the system's process of achieving its goals (Syiam & Hastuti, 2021). Therefore, in this study TOC is used as a tool to assist companies in exploiting the constraints that occur to produce maximum throughput and increase capacity in the production process at workstations. Some previous research that has been done to optimize the production process at the printing workstation is done with the time study method. This research uses the Theory of Constraint method for continuous analysis and provides proposals on the production process optimization process. Based on research conducted by Kristiana & Sunarni (2018), it is known that by applying Theory of Constraint to overcome the limited resources that become constraints can increase the throughput obtained by the existing capacity of the total demand by 74.43%. Kristiana & Sunarni also concluded that the lack of labor causes the number of working hours to be insufficient and affects the amount of production capacity so that it causes bottlenecks in the production line.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Production optimization can be interpreted as an activity in production prosess to get the minimum value of a function, it's because another goal of the production process is to minimize the effort and maximize the profit to be achieved (Krisna & Sumiati, 2023). According to Widjava (2004) cited from the journal Ervil & Yulanda (2020), reveals that constraints are everything that hinders the system from achieving which performance is better, which is contrary to company goals, to generate profits for the company. Theory of Constraints (TOC) was first introduced by Eliyahu M. Goldratt in the book The Goal: A Process of Ongoing Improvement in the early 1980s. The Goal is a business novel about how to overcome barriers to making money. This

theory explains how to start identifying chronic productivity and chronic quality successfully (Rahmawati et al., 2019). Meanwhile, in a journal written by Situmorang et al., (2023) revealed that the Theory of Constraints (TOC) method is a method used for process improvement that can assist companies in making decisions regarding resource management.

According to (Eunike et al., 2021), implementing improvements when considering using the TOC approach in analyzing operating systems, there are 5 (five) stages that are commonly used to improve company operating performance. namely: (a) Constraint Identification, (b) Constraint Exploitation, (c) Subordination of Non-Constraint, (d) Constraint Elimination, and (e) Constraint Elevation. The term "drum-buffer-rope" in the TOC concept according to (Adhiputra, 2021) is used to manage production flow in a production system. The drum-buffer-rope (DBR) concept can be seen in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Drum buffer rope Source : Adhiputra (2021)

Drum-buffer-rope is an application of the TOC concept that is used to manage resources to maximize the performance of the system by paying attention to time buffers and work stations that experience bottlenecks so that they can be improved (Bidiawati & Setiawati, 2020). Linear programming is a mathematical technique that solves the problem of allocating limited resources to achieve the optimal point of an objective, such as maximizing profits or minimizing costs. The mathematical model in linear programming usually consists of an objective function and a system of linear equations (Aini et al., 2021). This research uses the implementation of the drum buffer rope (DBR) method and linear programming as tools in Theory of Constraint to provide an appropriate view of the required production process and the use of linear programming as a mathematical method that is useful for optimizing solutions within certain constraints to optimize throughput and production flow. Whereas the literature review conducted only focuses on increasing throughput using Theory of Constraint to manage bottlenecks that occur in companies with work measurements. According to (Fathurohman, 2020), work time measurement (time study) is basically a job measurement technique by collecting data based on the time to complete a job. In the context of the type of measurement of work time, the technique of measuring work time with stopwatch or stopwatch time study according to Wingnjosoebroto (1995) cited from the journal Hudaningsih dkk., (2019) is used to measure the time required by the operator to complete his work with normal conditions and speed using a stopwatch. This measurement method is good for work that has repetitive movements in a short period of time (Fathurohman, 2020).

In determining the standard time in measuring working time, an adjustment factor is used. The adjustment factor (performance rating) is the basis for assessing the operator's ability to work either directly or indirectly (Pradana & Pulansari, 2021). The calculation of the adjustment factor is calculated using the Westinghouse rating system table (Heldayani & Yuamita, 2022). There are criteria used to measure the adjustment factor according to (Suroso & Yulvito, 2020) as follows: (1) Skill, (2) Effort, (3) Condition, and (4) Consistency. Production capacity is a term for the measurement parameters produced by a machine to produce in a certain period of time. Production capacity is expressed in the number of products produced per unit time (Prawiro & Adi, 2021).

#### 3. RESEARCH METHOD

This research was conducted at CV Wijaya Mandiri Label. The data taken comes from the production workstation and everything related to the flow of the production process. This research uses the Theory of Constraint method to optimize the production process. Data collection was carried out for six months from February to July 2023. Before conducting research, it is necessary to test the uniformity and adequacy of company data. This test is carried out so that the data collected is uniform and not too extreme so as not to disturb the accuracy of the research data. Next is to determine the value of cycle time, normal time, and standard time with production time data and workstation performance data. The processed data is used to determine the production capacity of each workstation.

Capacity planning and production behavior control need to be considered by the company. These two things affect the amount of production output where overproduction or underproduction can occur (Sitorus et al., 2022).



Figure 2. Study Framework

The production capacity obtained is analyzed and identified using the Theory of Constraint

method. This method consists of five stages to constraints. In the constraint manage subordination stage, a drum buffer rope is used to synchronize bottleneck and non-bottleneck workstations. Furthermore, adjustments and are improvements made using linear programming by determining constraints and research solutions to produce maximum throughput and constraints can be overcome.

#### 4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this step, the observation time data of the bontax sticker production process at each workstation is used to determine the value of data sufficiency and data uniformity seen in Table 2.

Table 2.	Observation	time	of bontax	sticker	production
		nrc	00000		

		proc	255		
Cycle		W	orkstatio	1	
Time			(minutes)		
Time	(SK-1)	(SK-2)	(SK-3)	(SK-4)	(SK-5)
1	2.61	2.78	3.73	6.52	2.79
2	3.17	3.19	2.81	5.32	2.58
3	2.51	2.54	2.73	6.12	2.38
4	2.37	3.32	3.37	7.29	3.27
5	2.43	2.3	2.59	4.35	3.59
6	2.15	3.25	2.47	6.32	2.32
7	3.47	2.72	2.74	7.3	3.15
8	2.21	2.62	2.78	6.52	3.28
9	3.18	2.44	2.39	5.15	2.29
10	2.4	2.61	2.78	5.4	2.48
11	2.13	2.57	2.59	4.25	3.59
12	2.15	3.25	3.67	6.22	3.32
13	3.17	2.72	2.74	6.3	3.25
14	2.21	2.62	3.58	6.72	3.28
15	3.18	2.44	2.39	5.15	2.29

#### **Data Sufficiency Test**

Based on the production process observation time data in Table 1. the data sufficiency test is carried out as follows:

$$N' = \left(\frac{\frac{2}{a}\sqrt{N\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}}{\sum x_i}\right)^2$$
$$N' = \left(\frac{\frac{2}{0.1}\sqrt{75 \times (1021.76) - (65977.05)}}{256.86}\right)^2$$
$$= 64,601 \approx 65$$

From this calculation, the value of N' < N is obtained where N' = 65 and N = 75. So that the data is considered sufficient for further calculations without observing the time again.

#### **Data Uniformity Test**

To find out that the data processed is uniform, a data uniformity test is carried out by calculating the average observation time and calculating the standard deviation to get the upper control limit and lower control limit values.

a. Average observation time  $(\bar{x})$ 

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{2.61+3.17+2.51+2.37+2.43+...+2.21+3.18}{15}$$

$$= \frac{39.34}{15} = 2.623 \text{ minutes}$$

b. Standart deviation ( $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(xi - \bar{x})}{n - 1}}$$
  
$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{(2.61 - 2.623) + (3.17 - 2.623) + (2.51 - 2.623) + (2.51 - 2.37) + (2.21 - 2.623)}{15 - 1}}$$
  
= 0.457

The results of the calculation of the mean and standard deviation seen in Table 2. are used to calculate the UCL and LCL values with the equation below:

- c. Upper control limit (UCL) UCL = Z + ( $k \times \sigma_{\tilde{x}}$ ) UCL = 2.623 + (2 × 0.457) = 3.536 d. Lower control limit (LCL)
- $LCL = Z (k \times \sigma_{\bar{x}})$ LCL = 2.623 - (2 × 0.457) = 1.708

Based on the results of the above equation, it is known that there is no data that exceeds UCL or less than LCL. So, it can be concluded that the time data collected at the platting workstation (SK-1) type of bontax sticker is uniform. Furthermore, data calculation is carried out on other work stations.



Figure 3. Data uniformity test Source : data processing

## Measurement of working time

Before measuring working time, first calculate the performance rating value and allowance data. Determination of the performance rating value is determined by grouping each operation from the entire production floor process (Rahmawati & Nursubiyantoro, 2019) 1) Normal time (Nt)

Calculation of performance rating using Westinghouse

Work Factor							
Skill	: Good (C1)	=	+0.06				
Effort	: Good (C1)	=	+0.05				
Environment	: Good (C)	=	+0.02				
Consistency	: Average (D)	=	+0.00				
Total	:	=	+0.13				
$Nt = Ct \times p$ (rating factor)							
$Nt = 2.623 \times 10^{-10}$	1.13 = 2.964						

2) Standart time (St)

The calculation of standard time is done by considering the allowance factor as follows:

Allowalice		
Self Needs	:	3
Unavoidable delay	:	3
Energy Expended	:	6
Work Attitude	:	2
Work Movement	:	0
Eye Fatigue	:	19
Atmosphere	:	0,5
Environment	:	0
Total	:	33.5 (%)

St = Nt(1 + L)

St = 2.964(1 + 33.5%) = 3.956 minutes The results of the calculation of the standard time of each workstation for making bontax stickers can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Standart time					
Workstation	Standart Time	(minutes)			
workstation -	Bontax Sticker	OPP Sticker			
SK-1	3.956	3.818			
SK-2	3.726	3.916			
SK-3	4.042	4.064			
SK-4	8.469	8.502			
SK-5	3.951	3.967			

The company's ability to meet customer demand is measured by how much production capacity exists. To determine the production capacity of each workstation, capacity measurements are made using the demand data in Table 4.

Table 4. Demand Data February - July 2023						
Month	Deman	d (pcs)				
Woltu	Bontax Sticker	OPP Sticker				
February	3130	2420				
March	2389	2131				
April	2918	3105				
May	2515	2975				
June	2593	2362				
July	2611	2612				

#### **Identification of Constraint**

Calculation of production capacity is used to determine bottleneck work stations. The process of determining bottleneck work stations is known through the percentage of load from the calculation of available capacity and required capacity.

1) Capacity requirement (CR)  $CR = \sum a_{ik}b_{kj}$   $CR = (2,419.72 \times 3.956) + (3,129 \times 2.849)$  CR = 20,511.8 minutes 2) Capacity available (CA) CA = Ava. Work Time × Utill × Eff  $CA = (3 \times 8 \times 26 \times 60 \text{ minutes}) \times 0.97 \times 0.92$ CA = 33,562 minutes

 Table 5. Bottleneck and non-bottleneck workstations

February         33562         20511.8         61%         bottleneck bottleneck           March         33562         17587.3         52%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         19497.7         58%         non- bottleneck           May         33562         20544.3         61%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         bottleneck           June         22374         19228.6         86%         bottleneck           June         22374         19228.6         86%         bottleneck           June         22374         19927.7         89%         non-           June         22080         21350.5         97%         bottleneck           June         22080         21350.5         97%         bottleneck           June         22080         20361.4         92%         bottleneck           June         2080         20142	Workstation	Month	CA	CR	Load Percentage	Desc.
March         33562         17587.3         52%         bottleneck non- bottleneck           SK-1         April         33562         19497.7         58%         non- bottleneck           May         33562         20544.3         61%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19276.3         57%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           SK-2         May         22374         1957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           July         22374         1957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           July         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           July         2080         20140.5         133%         bottleneck		February	33562	20511.8	61%	non- bottleneck
SK-1         April         33562         19497.7         58%         bottleneck non- bottleneck           June         33562         20544.3         61%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19276.3         57%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         bottleneck           June         22374         19257.7         89%         bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20475.5         133%         bottleneck           June		March	33562	17587.3	52%	non- bottleneck
May         33562         20544.3         61%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19276.3         57%         bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         bottleneck           Nay         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           June         20080         20142.1         97%         bottleneck           June         2080         20175.5         133%         bottleneck           June         33562         4470	SK-1	April	33562	19497.7	58%	non- bottleneck
June         33562         19276.3         57%         bottleneck non- bottleneck           July         33562         20303.4         60%         bottleneck           Narch         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           May         22374         1928.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         1928.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non-           SK-3         April         22080         20461.4         92%         non-           June         2080         20178.9         91%         non-           June         2080         20142.1         97%         bottleneck           June         33562	5.4.1	May	33562	20544.3	61%	non- bottleneck
July         33562         20303.4         60%         non- bottleneck           February         22374         20092.1         90%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         non- bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           May         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         1928.6         86%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         23052         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           June         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June		June	33562	19276.3	57%	non- bottleneck
February         22374         20092.1         90%         bottleneck non- bottleneck           March         22374         17245.5         77%         bottleneck           March         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           May         22374         20236.7         90%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         18910.1         85%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         19957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         23562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June		July	33562	20303.4	60%	non- bottleneck
March         22374         17245.5         77%         bottleneck non- bottleneck           SK-2         April         22374         19228.6         86%         non- bottleneck           May         22374         20236.7         90%         non- bottleneck           June         22374         18910.1         85%         non- bottleneck           July         22374         1957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           July         22374         1957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20145.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         42024.0         127%         bottleneck		February	22374	20092.1	90%	non- bottleneck
SK-2         April         22374         19228.6         86%         non-bottleneck           May         22374         20236.7         90%         non-bottleneck           June         22374         18910.1         85%         non-bottleneck           July         22374         18910.1         85%         non-bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         non-bottleneck           July         22080         21350.5         97%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         2361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           SK-3         April         22080         20361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         bottleneck           June         3262         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           SK-4         May         33562         4820.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         4820.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         bottleneck		March	22374	17245.5	77%	non- bottleneck
May         22374         20236.7         90%         non-bottleneck           June         22374         18910.1         85%         non-bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         non-bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         18315.6         83%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           June         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non-bottleneck           July         33562	SK-2	April	22374	19228.6	86%	non- bottleneck
June         22374         18910.1         85%         non-bottleneck           July         22374         19957.7         89%         bottleneck           non-bottleneck         non-bottleneck         non-bottleneck         non-bottleneck           March         22080         21350.5         97%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         18315.6         83%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         20361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           May         22080         2142.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         4269.3         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         4269.4         125%         bottleneck           June         33562	54 2	May	22374	20236.7	90%	non- bottleneck
July         22374         19957.7         89%         non- bottleneck           February         22080         21350.5         97%         non- bottleneck           March         22080         18315.6         83%         non- bottleneck           April         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           May         22080         2041.4         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20142.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         2080         20178.9         91%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           April         33562         442629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         4203.0         132%         bottleneck           June         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         1988.8         59%         non- bottleneck           July         33562		June	22374	18910.1	85%	non- bottleneck
February         22080         21350.5         97%         non-bottleneck           March         22080         18315.6         83%         non-bottleneck           SK-3         April         22080         20361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           May         22080         21442.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         201442.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         201442.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20149.3         96%         non-bottleneck           June         32562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         48892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         bottleneck           March         33562         1988.8         59%         bottleneck           July         33		July	22374	19957.7	89%	non- bottleneck
March         22080         18315.6         83%         non-bottleneck           SK-3         April         22080         20361.4         92%         non-bottleneck           May         22080         21442.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         4202.0         127%         bottleneck           April         33562         4202.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         1988.8         59%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non-bottleneck           March <td< td=""><td></td><td>February</td><td>22080</td><td>21350.5</td><td>97%</td><td>non- bottleneck</td></td<>		February	22080	21350.5	97%	non- bottleneck
April         22080         20361.4         92%         non- bottleneck           May         22080         21442.1         97%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non- bottleneck           June         22080         21169.3         96%         non- bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562		March	22080	18315.6	83%	non- bottleneck
May         22080         21442.1         97%         non-bottleneck           June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           May         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non-bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non-bottleneck           May         33562         1989.8         59%         non-bottleneck           June         33562         1989.8         59%         non-bottleneck           June         33562         1961	SK-3	April	22080	20361.4	92%	non- bottleneck
June         22080         20078.9         91%         non-bottleneck bottleneck           July         22080         21169.3         96%         non- bottleneck           February         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         38350.0         114%         bottleneck           April         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck	SK-S	May	22080	21442.1	97%	non- bottleneck
July         22080         21169.3         96%         non- bottleneck           February         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         4269.0         114%         bottleneck           April         33562         4269.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         4269.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         bottleneck           March         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           SK-5         May         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		June	22080	20078.9	91%	non- bottleneck
February         33562         44705.5         133%         bottleneck           March         33562         38350.0         114%         bottleneck           April         33562         4892.5         134%         bottleneck           May         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           SK-5         May         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19845.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         bottleneck           June         33562         20680.2         62%         bottleneck		July	22080	21169.3	96%	non- bottleneck
March         33562         38350.0         114%         bottleneck           April         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           May         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           June         33562         42892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         44323.0         132%         bottleneck           March         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non- bottleneck           SK-5         May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		February	33562	44705.5	133%	bottleneck
April         33562         42629.0         127%         bottleneck           May         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         44323.0         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         44323.0         132%         bottleneck           March         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         1989.8         59%         non- bottleneck           SK-5         May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck		March	33562	38350.0	114%	bottleneck
SK-4         May         33562         44892.5         134%         bottleneck           June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         44323.0         132%         bottleneck           July         33562         20858.7         62%         non-           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non-           March         33562         19889.8         59%         non-           SK-5         May         33562         20945.9         62%         non-           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non-         bottleneck           June         33562         20680.2         62%         non-         bottleneck           June         33562         20645.9         62%         non-         bottleneck		April	33562	42629.0	127%	bottleneck
June         33562         42041.6         125%         bottleneck           July         33562         44323.0         132%         bottleneck           February         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           April         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         bottleneck           June         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck	5K-4	May	33562	44892.5	134%	bottleneck
July         33562         44323.0         132%         bottleneck           February         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           April         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		June	33562	42041.6	125%	bottleneck
February         33562         20858.7         62%         non- bottleneck           March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           April         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		July	33562	44323.0	132%	bottleneck
March         33562         17893.4         53%         non- bottleneck           April         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		February	33562	20858.7	62%	non- bottleneck
April         33562         19889.8         59%         non- bottleneck           SK-5         May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         non- bottleneck		March	33562	17893.4	53%	non- bottleneck
May         33562         20945.9         62%         non- bottleneck           June         33562         19615.8         58%         non- bottleneck           July         33562         20680.2         62%         bottleneck	SK-5	April	33562	19889.8	59%	non- bottleneck
June 33562 19615.8 58% non- bottleneck July 33562 20680.2 62% non- bottleneck	0100	May	33562	20945.9	62%	non- bottleneck
July 33562 20680.2 62% non- bottleneck		June	33562	19615.8	58%	non- bottleneck
		July	33562	20680.2	62%	non- bottleneck

From the results of the workload calculation in Table 5. Work stations that have a load percentage of more than 100% are indicated to be bottleneck work stations.

## **Exploiting the Constraint**

From the results of constraint identification in Table 5. It is known that the bottleneck workstation is SK-4. To optimize the amount of throughput and increase the amount of production of the company, it is necessary to take steps to exploit the constraints by maximizing performance at work stations that have bottlenecks using linear programming methods.

Based on data obtained at the company, bontax sticker products produce a throughput of IDR3.750, while OPP sticker products produce a throughput of IDR4.250. So, the formulation as input to the WinQSB software is as follows: Maks  $Z = 3.750x_1 + 4.250x_2$ 

mano.	$2 = 3,730x_1 + 1,230x_2$
s.t.	$3.95x_1 + 3.82x_2 \le 33562(SK-1)$
	$3.73x_1 + 3.92x_2 \le 22$ , $374$ (SK-2)
	$4.04x_1 + 4.06x_2 \le 22,080(SK-3)$
	$8.47x_1 + 8.50x_2 \le 33,562(\text{SK-4})$
	$3.95x_1 + 3.97x_2 \le 33,562(SK-5)$
$x_1 \leq 1$	6,155.60
$x_2 \leq 1$	5,605.12
$x_1, x_2 \ge$	≥ 0

So the processing results using WinQSB software obtained are presented in Figure 3.

			-	_				
1	Decision Variable	Solution Value	Unit Cost or Profit c(j)	Total Contribution	Reduced Cost	Basis Status	Allowable Min. c(j)	Allowable Max. c(j)
1	X1	0	3,750.0000	0	-485.0001	at bound	-M	4,235.0000
2	X2	3,948.4710	4,250.0000	16,781,000.0000	0	basic	3,763.2820	м
	Objective	Function	(Max.) =	16,781,000.0000				
	Constraint	Left Hand Side	Direction	Right Hand Side	Slack or Surplus	Shadow Price	Allowable Min. RHS	Allowable Max. RHS
1	C1	15,083.1600	<=	33,562.0000	18,478.8400	0	15,083.1600	м
2	C2	15,478.0100	<=	22,374.0000	6,895.9950	0	15,478.0000	м
3	C3	16,030.7900	<=	22,080.0000	6,049.2100	0	16,030.7900	м
4	C4	33,562.0000	<=	33,562.0000	0	500.0000	0	46,226.6000
5	C5	15,675.4300	<=	33,562.0000	17,886.5700	0	15,675.4300	м
6	C6	0	<=	16,155.6000	16,155.6000	0	0	м
7	C7	3,948.4710	<=	15,605.1200	11,656.6500	0	3,948.4710	м
8	C8	0	>=	0	0	0	-M	0
9	C9	3,948.4710	>=	0	3,948.4710	0	-M	3,948.4710

Figure 4. Data output from WinQSB Source : data processing

Based on the Figure 4, it can be concluded that the output results from WinQSB software know the value is:

 $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 3,948.47$ .  $Z = 3,750x_1 + 4,250x_2$ Z = 3,750(0) + 4,250(3,948.47)

#### Z = 16,781,000.

#### Subordinate of Non Constraint

To ensure the overall performance of the system is maintained, non-constraint subordination is performed using the Drum-Buffer-Rope method. The initial stage in applying the drumbuffer-rope concept is to determine the drum, so the setting workstation becomes the drum at this stage. Next, determine the buffer as shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Time buffer						
Workstation	Month CA CR (minutes) (minutes)		CR (minutes)	Time Buffer (minutes)		
	February	33562	44705.5	11143.9		
	March	33562	38350.0	4788.4		
6 - #in -	April	33562	42629.0	9067.4		
Setting	May	33562	44892.5	11330.9		
	June	33562	42041.6	8480.0		
	July	33562	44323.0	10761.4		

Based on the results of the above calculations where the time buffer value is obtained from the amount of capacity required minus the available capacity so that the largest time buffer value occurs in May, namely 11330 minutes or 188 hours.



Figure 5. Drum buffer rope map Source : data processing

The time buffer that has been calculated previously is placed at the station before the bottleneck station with the aim of protecting the production rate (throughput) of the system coming from the previous station in order to minimize constraints and prevent products from accumulating in the production system.

#### **Constraint Elevation**

The elevation stage is carried out based on the improvement results of the Drum-Buffer-Rope (DBR) method. Worker Shift Addition The addition of shifts can be done by taking shifts at other work stations with the provision of shifts, namely at the Platting or Print work station. The additional number of operators needed to fulfill the required capacity in February at the Setting workstation is obtained by dividing the capacity requirement (CR) by the capacity available (CA).

Table 7. Shift changes

		U						
WS	Month	First Op	CR (minutes)	CA (minutes)	OP Needs	Changes OP	Desc.	CA'
	February	3	20511.8	22080	2	-1	Allocate 1	22080
	March	3	17587.3	22080	2	-1		22080
	April	3	19497.7	22080	2	-1		22080
1	May	3	20544.3	22080	2	-1	operator	22080
	June	3	19276.3	22080	2	-1	to SK-4	22080
	July	3	20303.4	22080	2	-1		22080
	February	3	44705.5	33561.6	4	1	Plus 1 from SK-1 and SK- 5	56525
	March	3	38350.0	33561.6	4	1		56525
TV.	April	3	42629.0	33561.6	4	1		56525
11	May	3	44892.5	33561.6	4	1		56525
	June	3	42041.6	33561.6	4	1		56525
	July	3	44323.0	33561.6	4	1		56525
-	February	3	20858.7	22080	2	-1		22080
	March	3	17893.4	22080	2	-1	Allocate	22080
v	April	3	19889.8	22080	2	-1	1	22080
v	May	3	20945.9	22080	2	-1	operator	22080
	June	3	19615.8	22080	2	-1	to SK-4	22080
	July	3	20680.2	22080	2	-1		22080

Changes in the number of operators affect the amount of capacity available (CA) at the workstation. Thus it is necessary to re-formulate with linear programming for additional capacity as follows:

Maks. :  $Z = 3,750x_1 + 4,250x_2$ 

s.t.  $\begin{array}{ll} :3.95x_1+3.82x_2\leq 22,080...(\text{SK-1})\\ 3.73x_1+3.92x_2\leq 22,374...(\text{SK-2})\\ 4.04x_1+4.06x_2\leq 22,080...(\text{SK-3})\\ 8.47x_1+8.50x_2\leq 56,525...(\text{SK-4})\\ 3.95x_1+3.97x_2\leq 22,080...(\text{SK-5}) \end{array}$ 

 $x_1 \le 16,155.60$ 

$$x_2 \le 15,605.12$$

$$x_1, x_2 \ge 0$$

The calculation of the Linear Programming mathematical model above is used as input to the WinQSB software worksheet so as to get the optimal solution. The calculation input is shown in Figure 6.

	16:54:49		Friday	August	18	2023		
	Decision Variable	Solution Value	Unit Cost or Profit c(j)	Total Contribution	Reduced Cost	Basis Status	Allowable Min. c(j)	Allowable Max. c(j)
1	X1	0	3,750.0000	0	-479.0641	at bound	-M	4,229.0640
2	X2	5,438.4240	4,250.0000	23,113,300.0000	0	basic	3,768.5650	м
	Objective	Function	(Max.) =	23,113,300.0000				
	Constraint	Left Hand Side	Direction	Right Hand Side	Slack or Surplus	Shadow Price	Allowable Min. RHS	Allowable Max. RHS
1	C1	20,774.7800	<=	22,080.0000	1,305.2220	0	20,774.7800	м
2	C2	21,318.6200	<=	22,374.0000	1,055.3790	0	21,318.6200	м
3	C3	22,080.0000	<=	22,080.0000	0 4	1,046.7980	0	22,580.5500
4	C4	46,226.6000	<=	56,525.0000	10,298.4000	0	46,226.6000	м
5	C5	21,590.5400	<=	22,080.0000	489.4577	0	21,590.5400	м
6	C6	0	<=	16,155.6000	16,155.6000	0	0	м
7	C7	5,438.4240	<=	15,605.1200	10,166.7000	0	5,438.4240	м
8	C8	0	>=	0	0	0	-M	0
9	C9	5,438.4240	>=	0	5,438.4240	0	-M	5,438.4240

Figure 6. Output data from WinQSB Source : data processing

Based on the Figure 6, it can be concluded that the output results from WinQSB software know the value is:

 $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 5438.42$   $Z = 3,750x_1 + 4,250x_2$  Z = 3,750(0) + 4,250(5,438.42)Z = 23,113,300.

Table 8. Throughput comparisson						
	X1	X2	Throughput			
Before	0	3,948.47	16,781,000			
After	0	5,438.42	23,113,300			

From the Table 8, it shows that the throughput in the initial condition is IDR16,781,000 while the throughput after adding operators is IDR23,113,300. So that we get an increase in throughput of IDR6,332,300 or a percentage increase of 27.4%.

# 5. CONCLUSION

This research uses the TOC approach to analyze the production process at CV Wijaya Mandiri. This research develops a problem solving method using linear programming. It is known that the constraints that occur in the sticker printing production process at CV. Wijaya Mandiri Label in the form of Capacity Constraints or excess capacity required from the available resource capacity. With the capacity owned, the production process at CV. Wijaya Mandiri Label experiences bottlenecks. The workstation that experienced bottleneck from February to July was the Setting workstation (SK-4). The output generated from data processing using linear programming obtained the initial condition throughput amount of IDR16,781,000 and the final condition throughput result of IDR23,113,300. This result is obtained from the addition of operators in SK-4 by allocating operators in SK-1 and SK-5 to SK-4. So that the total in throughput increase obtained was IDR6,322,300 or a percentage increase of 27.4%. Based on these calculations, the results of the production volume that produces maximum throughput are greater than the company's throughput, so it is more optimal in fulfilling production capacity in the production process. This study has limitations in the time limit used. Future researchers can conduct

research with a longer data collection period.

## REFERENCES

- Adhiputra, R. F. (2021). Optimalisasi kapasitas produksi produk PDS Fender pada PT Arkha Jayanti Persada dengan theory of constraints menggunakan Lindo dan PomQm. *Journal Industrial Servicess*, 7(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.36055/jiss.v7i1.12049
- Aini, S., Jamiluddin Fikri, A., Septiani Sukandar, R. (2021). Optimalisasi Keuntungan Produksi Makanan Menggunakan Pemrograman Linear Melalui Metode Simpleks. Jurnal Bayesian, 1(1), 1-16.

https://doi.org/10.46306/bay.v1i1.1

- Bidiawati, A., & Setiawati, L. (2020). Kajian Drum-Buffer-Rope Berbasis Theory of Contraint Untuk Menyeimbangkan Aliran Produksi. *Inaque : Journal of Industrial and Quality Engineering*, 8(1), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.34010/iqe.v8i1.2764
- Ervil, R., & Yulanda, Z. N. (2020). Identifikasi Kendala Pada Proses Produksi dengan Menggunakan Theory of Constrain (TOC) Dalam Mengoptimalkan Kapasitas Produksi PDAM Gunung Pangilun. Jurnal Sains dan Teknologi, 20(2), 162-167. https://doi.org/10.36275/stsp.v20i2.295
- Eunike, A., Setyanto, N. W., Yuniarti, R., Hamdala, I., Lukodono, R. P., & Fanani, A.A. (2021). Perencanaan Produksi dan Pengendalian Persediaan.
- Fathurohman, N. (2020). Usulan Waktu Standar Kerja pada Produksi Kaos Polos Menggunakan Metode Stopwatch Time Study di Suckseed Konveksi Tasikmalaya. JMIG, 1(1), 31-40. https://ojs.unigal.ac.id/index.php/jmig/articl e/download/2351/1826/8094
- Gofur, M., Sarjono., Saputra, D. M., Apriani, A. Y. (2023). Optimization of Basket Oven Oil Seal Design for Quality, Productivity, and Material Handling. IJIEM, 4(3), 618-623. https://doi.org/10.22441/ijiem.v4i3.20303
- Heldayani, & Yuamita, F. (2022). Perbaikan Work Station Dan Pengukuran Waktu Kerja Dalam Menentukan Waktu Standar Guna Meningkatkan Produktivitas Pada Lini Kerja Spot Assembly (Studi Kasus Pt Indonesia Thai Summit Auto). Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin, 1(9), 2944-2956. https://journal-

nusantara.com/index.php/JIM/article/view/ 688/549

- Krisna, D. D., & Sumiati. (2023). Optimization of Teller Services Using Queuing Theory at XYZ Bank. IJIEM, 4(3), 440-447. https://doi.org/10.22441/ijiem.v4i3.21359
- Kristiana, L. R., & Sunarni, T. (2018). Aplikasi Pendekatan Theory of Constraints pada Maksimasi Throughput Produksi PT XYZ. *Jurnal Media Teknik & Sistem Industri*, 2(2), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.35194/jmtsi.v2i2.399
- Pradana, A. Y., & Pulansari, F. (2021). Analisis Pengukuran Waktu Kerja dengan Stopwatch Time Study untuk Meningkatkan Target Produksi di PT. XYZ. Jurnal Manajemen Industri Dan Teknologi, 2(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.33005/juminten.v2i1.217
- Prawiro, K. K., & Adi, P. (2021). Perancangan Sistem Penentuan Lead Time Produksi Berdasarkan Kapasitas dan Waktu Baku pada PT. X. Jurnal Tirta, 9(2), 261–268. https://publication.petra.ac.id/index.php/tek nik-industri/article/view/8980/8101
- Purwadinata, S., & Batilmurik, R. W. (2020). PENGANTAR ILMU EKONOMI. Literasi Nusantara.
- Rahmawati, D., & Nursubiyantoro, E. (2019).
  Optimalisasi Kapasitas Stasiun Kerja
  Dengan Penerapan Theory of Constraints (TOC). Jurnal OPSI, 12(1), 12-19.
  https://doi.org/10.31315/opsi.v12i1.2828

- Salimah, S., & Dzikron, M. (2021). Reduksi Stasiun Kerja Bottleneck pada Produksi Pakaian Gamis dan Koko dengan Menggunakan Theory of Constraints. *Jurnal Riset Teknik Industri*, 1(1), 49–57. https://doi.org/10.29313/jrti.v1i1.140
- Sitorus, H., Rosihan, R. I., & Afiat, A. N. (2022). Optimasi Kapasitas Produksi Bantal dengan Menggunakan INTEGER Linier Programming di PT. Dunlopillo Indonesia. Jurnal Pasti, XVI(2), 136–147. https://dx.doi.org/10.22441/pasti.2022.v16i 2.002
- Situmorang, O. C., Satya, R. R. D., & Herliawan, A. (2023). Optimalisasi Perencanaan Kapasitas Produksi dengan Metode Theory of Constraints dan Rough Cut Capacity Planning. *Barometer*, 8(1), 19– 28.

https://doi.org/10.35261/barometer.v8i1.68 26

- Suroso, H. C., & Yulvito. (2020). Analisa Pengukuran Waktu Kerja Guna Menentukan Jumlah Karyawan Packer di PT. Sinarmas Tbk. *Jurnal IPTEK*, 24(1), 67-74. https://doi.org/10.31284/j.iptek.2020.v24i1
- Syiam, F., & Hastuti. (2021). Implementasi Theory of Constraints untuk Peningkatan Kapasitas Produksi dan Laba pada UMKM Teh Karya Tani. Prosiding The 12th Industrial Research Workshop and National Seminar, Vol. 12, 1240-1246. https://doi.org/10.35313/irwns.v12i0