The Application of Milkrun to Reduce Transportation Costs for Automotive After Sales Products during Covid-19 Pandemic: Case Study

Deni Ahmad Taufik^{1*}, Setiawan², Welly Atikno³

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, Sekolah Tinggi Teknik Texmaco, Subang ²Department of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Islam Mandiri, Bekasi ³Operation Division, PT. Surveyor Carbon Consulting Indonesia, Jakarta

*Correspondence email: <u>deniat@gmail.com</u>

Astract

The Covid-19 pandemic has put all businesses in a downturn. This has an impact on the After Sales business such as service parts, accessories, and domestic in-house parts due to the Covid19 pandemic. During the Covid-19 was a very difficult year for the four-wheeled automotive industry in Indonesia where sales decreased drastically from an average of not less than 1 million units to only 600 units a year. This study aims to reduce transportation costs during ongoing business processes. The method used is Milk-run. The research results found that the calculation of transportation costs, it is found that the transportation costs in FY20 are 4,809 MIDR per year because the supplier directly sends the product to the customer so the transportation cost is based on the distance between the supplier and the customer. By using the milk run method, transportation costs can be reduced to 3,219 MIDR because the moving transporters take parts from several suppliers that are quite close

Keywords: After Sales, Automotive Industry, Milk-run, Pandemic

1. Introduction

Fiscall Year (FY) 20, was a very difficult year for the four-wheeled automotive industry in Indonesia where sales decreased drastically from an average of not less than 1 million units to only 600 units a year (Gaikindo 2020). This has an impact on the After Sales business such as service parts, accessories, and domestic in-house parts due to the Covid19 pandemic. One of the four-wheeled automotive industries in the GIIC industrial area, Cikarang, decreased the profit ratio from 39.43% to 30.21%. This requires the after-sales division to reduce the cost of transporting parts from suppliers where transportation costs are the largest cost component in this division. I try to propose the application of milk-run in reducing the cost of transportation and inventory in warehouses (Adriano et al., 2020; Klenk & Galka, 2019; Mao et al., 2020; Ranjbaran et al., 2020). Where Milk-run is the most effective method for reducing costs such as transportation and inventory (Tamas Banyai 2018). The following comparison of sales profits with operations can be seen in Figure 1. This study aims to reduce transportation costs during ongoing business processes.



Figure 1. Sales vs Operation Profit

2. Method

The title of this study uses the Milkrun method to obtain optimal transportation costs (Bocewicz et al., 2019) (Teschemacher & Reinhart, 2017)(Mácsay & Bányai, 2017). Previous research used the Milkrun method to obtain an optimal delivery process (Mei et al., 2017; Purba et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2018). Milkrun can reduce costs, fast delivery times, and increase productivity (Greenwood et al., 2017; Kluska & Pawlewski, 2018; Purba et al., 2019; Tellini et al., 2019). Data was obtained through company reports during FY20. Several stages are required to get a good finishing concept. The following research framework can be seen in Figure 2.

Milkrun Feasibility Study	√ Jan'21 √ To √ Mar'21 √	Supplier survey, volume, route and group setting RFI to logistic partner (by purchasing) Cost and benefit comparison (conventional and milkrun) Feasibility study report.
Top Company Approval	Mar'21	Outsource fee FY21 negotiation (include milkrun compensation).
Milkrun Operation Preparation	Apr'21 ✓ To ✓ May'21 ✓	Finalize SOP Warehouse preparation Supplier preparation
Commercial Issue	Jun'21 🗸	Logistic partner selection Re-negotiate part pricing with supplier
Trial & Evaluation	Jun'21 🗸	Merging supplier and LP Trial and evaluation
SOP	Jul'21	Start Milkrun Operation Supplier and Logistic Partner performance review

Figure 2. Study Framework

3. Analysis and Result

3.1. Milkrun Concept

The initial stage is to define the concept of fleet travel in cases that state problems. Then analyze the route and cost factors. Where the fleet travel process is carried out directly in transit at each company. The following initial concept analysis can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Simulation siklus conceps Milk-run

Due to After Sales orders being very fluctuated, therefore, we will manage milk run flexibility by changing the milk run route cycle daily, based on the milk run cycle ratio. Below is the sampling scheme can be seen in Figure 4.



Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Sun
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30					

Figure 4. Total Truck Milkrun

3.2. Business Impact – Cost Reduction

Table 2.	Inventory	Cost ((FY20))

Area	Suplier	Tra	ansport Direct	Dire	ct Method	Milkr	un Method
Cibitung	Kayaba	Rp	550.700				
Cibitung	Autobody	Rp	560.000				
Cibitung	lchikoh	Rp	570.000	Rp 2.792.040		Rp	1.776.000
Cibitung	Sekiso	Rp	564.590				
Cibitung	Autoliv	Rp	546.750	1			
Cikarang	Autrans	Rp	610.300				
Cikarang	lkuyo	Rp	623.000	D. 2 502 000		D. 1	1 745 000
Cikarang	Autocomp	Rp	625.500	Кр	2.303.800	ΓP	1.745.000
Cikarang	Enkei	Rp	645.000				
Karawang Barat	ITSP	Rp	685.000				
Karawang Barat	Penstone	Rp	704.000	Pn	2 775 000	Dn	1.911.000
Karawang Barat	lchii	Rp	685.000	Кþ	2.775.000	κþ	
Karawang Barat	Kyoraku	Rp	701.000				
Karawang Timur	Sakae	Rp	774.000				
Karawang Timur	Ansei	Rp	750.000				
Karawang Timur	Tokai Rika safety	Rp	756.800	Rp 3.791.700		Rp 2	2.021.000
Karawang Timur	Hiruta	Rp	765.900				
Karawang Timur	Nifco	Rp	745.000				
Purwakarta	Valeo	Rp	812.500				
Purwakarta	Sumi Indo	Rp	820.800	Rp	2.449.000	Rp	2.127.800
Purwakarta	Mitsuba	Rp	815.700				
				Rp	14.311.540	Rp	9.580.800

Inventory Cost

Improvement using the milk run method we can reduce the warehouse area by 40% automatically reducing warehouse rental costs. This data can be seen in Table 2.

Transportation Method	Space (m2)	Price (m2)	Price per Month Total		Price	Price per Year Total	
Direct	2.000	80.000	Rp	160.000.000	Rp	1.920.000.000	
Milkrun	1.200	80.000	Rp	96.000.000	Rp	1.152.000.000	
Reduction					Rp	768.000.000	

Table 2. Inventory Cost (FY20)

Forecast cycle concepts Milk-run Truck Per Year



Figure 5. Forecast cycle concepts Milk-run Truck per year

3.3. Cost Reduction Total

After making changes to the transportation system, the overall cost reduction was obtained. This decrease resulted in lower costs which resulted in the good productivity of transportation activities during FY25 increasing rapidly. The following reduction in costs for 5 FY can be seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Milk-run Cost Reduction (MIDR)

To be more detailed in the inventory cost calculation, in the future, we will calculate the inventory cost components more completely, such as the use of warehouse manpower, the electricity used, and others. To control transportation from supplier to customer, it needs to be combined with digital technology (Industri 4.0) such as GPS which can monitor transportation lead time in real-time and respond quickly to abnormalities on the road. This data can be seen in Table 2. Inventory Cost (FY20)

4. Conclusion

Based on the calculation of transportation costs, it is found that the transportation costs in FY20 are 4,809 MIDR per year because the supplier directly sends the product to the customer so the transportation cost is based on the distance between the supplier and the customer. By using the milk run method, transportation costs can be reduced to 3,219 MIDR because the moving transporters take parts from several suppliers that are quite close (adjacent areas). The impact of the application of this milk run method, the area of warehouse requirements has decreased drastically from 2000 m2 to 1200 m2, where the inventory costs for renting a warehouse have also been reduced from 1,920 MIDR to 1,152 MIDR, degrees saving cost from 39,43% to 30,21%.

Reference

- Bányai, T., Telek, P., & Landschützer, C. (2018). Milkrun-based in-plant supply An automotive approach. In Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering (Vol. 0, Issue 9783319756769). Springer International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75677-6_14</u>
- Botter, R. C. (2016). Redalyc.DELIVERY AND PICK-UP PROBLEM TRANSPORTATION MILK RUN OR CONVENTIONAL SYSTEMS. <u>https://doi.org/10.14807/ijmp.v7i3.434</u>
- Gabungan Industri Kendaraan Bermotor Indonesia (Gaikindo). (2020b). Tren Penjualan Kendaraan Roda Empat.

Klenk, E., Galka, S., & Giinthner, W. A. (2015). Operating strategies for in-plant milk-run systems. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 28(3), 1882–1887. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.06.361</u>

- Purba, H. H., Fitra, A., & Nindiani, A. (2019). Control and integration of milk-run operation in a Japanese automotive company in Indonesia. Management and Production Engineering Review, 10(1), 79–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.24425/mper.2019.128246</u>
- Adriano, D. D., Montez, C., Novaes, A. G. N., & Wangham, M. (2020). Dmrvr: Dynamic milk-run vehicle routing solution using fog-based vehicular ad hoc networks. *Electronics (Switzerland)*, 9(12), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics9122010
- Bocewicz, G., Bozejko, W., Wójcik, R., & Banaszak, Z. (2019). Milk-run routing and scheduling are subject to a trade-off between vehicle fleet size and storage capacity. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, *10*(3), 41–53. https://doi.org/10.24425/mper.2019.129597
- Greenwood, A. G., Kluska, K., & Pawlewski, P. (2017). A Hybrid Modeling Approach for Simulating Milk-Run In-plant Logistics Operations. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 722, 221–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60285-1_19
- Klenk, E., & Galka, S. (2019). Analysis of real-time tour building and scheduling strategies for in-plant milk-run systems with volatile transportation demand. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 52(13), 2110–2115. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IFACOL.2019.11.517
- Kluska, K., & Pawlewski, P. (2018). The use of simulation in the design of Milk-Run intralogistics systems. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 51(11), 1428–1433. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IFACOL.2018.08.314
- Mácsay, V., & Bányai, T. (2017). Toyota Production System in Milkrun Based in-Plant Supply. *Journal of Production Engineering*, 20(1), 141–146. https://doi.org/10.24867/jpe-2017-01-141
- Mao, Z., Huang, D., Fang, K., Wang, C., & Lu, D. (2020). Milk-run routing problem with progresslane in the collection of automobile parts. *Annals of Operations Research*, 291(1–2), 657–684. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-019-03218-x
- Mei, H., Jingshuai, Y., Teng, M., Xiuli, L., & Ting, W. (2017). The Modeling of Milk-run Vehicle Routing Problem Based on Improved C-W Algorithm that Joined Time Window. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 25, 716–728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.05.453
- Purba, H. H., Fitra, A., & Nindiani, A. (2019). Control and integration of milk-run operation in a Japanese automotive company in Indonesia. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 10(1), 79–88. https://doi.org/10.24425/mper.2019.128246
- Ranjbaran, F., Husseinzadeh Kashan, A., & Kazemi, A. (2020). Mathematical formulation and heuristic algorithms for optimization of auto-part milk-run logistics network considering forward and reverse flow of pallets. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(6), 1741–1775. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1617449
- Tellini, T., Silva, F. J. G., Pereira, T., Morgado, L., Campilho, R. D. S. G., & Ferreira, L. P. (2019). Improving in-plant logistics flow by physical and digital pathways. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 38(2019), 965–974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.01.180
- Teschemacher, U., & Reinhart, G. (2017). Ant Colony Optimization Algorithms to Enable Dynamic Milkrun Logistics. *Procedia CIRP*, 63, 762–767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.125
- Wu, Q., Wang, X., He, Y. D., Xuan, J., & He, W. D. (2018). A robust hybrid heuristic algorithm to solve multi-plant milk-run pickup problem with uncertain demand in the automobile parts industry. *Advances in Production Engineering And Management*, 13(2), 169–178. https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2018.2.282